Dutch elm disease and elm bark beetles: a century of association
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Bark beetles of the genus *Scolytus* Geoffroy are the main vectors of the fungus *Ophiostoma ulmi* s.l., which causes the Dutch elm disease. The large and small elm bark beetles - *S. scoloysis* (F.) and *S. multistriatus* (Marsham), respectively - are the most common and important species spreading the pathogen worldwide. The success of the pathogen-insect interactions is mainly due to the characteristic reproductive behavior of the elm bark beetles, which, however, largely depends on the occurrence of infected trees. During feeding activity on elm twigs, callow adults carrying pathogen conidia on their bodies contaminate healthy trees and facilitate pathogen development and movement within the wood vessels. Infected trees become then suitable for insect breeding in the stem bark. This well-known mutualistic association has devastating consequences for elm survival. Although much is known about insect-pathogen interactions and transmission mechanisms, many topics still deserve additional attention, as, for example, beetle systematic based on new molecular tools and morphological characters; selection of European elm clones based on disease avoidance; consequences of global warming on life-history of the three organisms (fungus-insect-tree) involved in the pathosystem; new problems resulting from the rapid increase of international trade among continents, leading to the accidental introduction of new vector species or new pathogen species or races, or to the introduction of new highly susceptible elm species in gardens and public parks. A holistic approach to tackle the problem is highly recommended, taking into account how these organisms interact with each other and the environment, and how their interactions could be modified in order to face one of the most destructive diseases ever known in plant pathology.
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Introduction

Dutch elm disease (DED), caused by some Ascomycete fungi of the genus *Ophiostoma* (*Ophiostoma ulmi* s.l.), is one of the most destructive diseases of woody trees ever known in plant pathology. The severity and devastation of its pandemics stirred up the interest of public opinion and researchers (Rohring 1996). Since prehistory, elms (*Ulmus* spp.) are strictly linked to human activities, providing a number of different forest, agricultural and cultural services. DED spreading and infection of suitable hosts is mainly due to a synchrony between life cycles of host-tree, pathogen and elm bark beetles (Coleoptera: Curculionidae, Scolytinae), the main fungus vectors. Such a synchrony allows the insect vectors to spread the pathogen when host plants are more prone to be infected and temperatures are favorable to the fungus growth, enhancing the pathogen aggressiveness.

After a century since the beginning of the first pandemic of DED in Europe (Spierenberg 1921), and the introduction in the USA of one of its most effective vector, the small elm bark beetle *Scolytus multistriatus* (Chapman 1910), the amount of available scientific data is indeed vast and covers many aspects. The aim of the present paper is to provide a thorough review of the knowledge concerning the association between DED pathogens and vectors. Possible challenges for future research topics are also explored.

*Ophiostoma ulmi* s.l. and Dutch elm disease

As a result of DED, during the last century elms suffered major losses worldwide, with the near-total disappearance of adult trees in many European, Asian and North American areas. Two pandemics occurred. The first, caused by *O. ulmi* (Buisman) Nannfield, began in Europe in the 1910s (Spierenberg 1921) and rapidly devastated elm populations in Europe and, 20 years later, in North America (Brasier 2000, Guries 2001). Around 1940, the disease declined in Europe (Brasier 1979, Mittermpeger 1989). A few years later, in the mid-1900s, a second and more destructive pandemic caused the widespread destruction of mature elms in Europe, Western Asia and North America (Gibbs & Brasier 1973, Brasier & Kirk 2001). This second still active pandemic is due to a different fungus species, the highly virulent *O. novo-ulmi* Brasier (1991), which has almost totally replaced *O. ulmi*. Moreover, two subspecies of *O. novo-ulmi* are known: *O. novo-ulmi* ssp. *novo-ulmi*, previously known as the Euro-Asian race (EAN), and *O. novo-ulmi* ssp. *americana*, previously known as the North American race (NAN - Brasier 1979, Brasier & Kirk 2001). Since the 1980s, the presence of hybrids has been detected between these two subspecies. The hybrids, whose pathogenicity does not differ from that of their parent subspecies (Santini et al. 2005b), are now expanding across the continents (Brasier & Kirk 2010). A third species, *O. himal-ulmi* Brasier & Mehrotra, which also causes DED, was identified in the Himalayas (Brasier & Mehrotra 1995), but its presence has still not been reported in Europe or North America.

The destructiveness and severity of this disease is mainly due to the rapidity and efficiency of its spread, which is particularly quick and effective because *O. ulmi* s.l. has exploited an ancient association between the native saprotrophic species *O. quercus* and the elm bark beetles active in Europe prior to the arrival of *O. ulmi* (Brasier 1990). Various species of elm bark beetles are involved in the disease transmission. Callow bee-
Elm bark beetles usually lay eggs in the phloem of weakened trees (Fig. 1). After finding and acceptance of the host, elm bark beetle females bore an entrance hole through the tree bark, communicating with a small mating (or nuptial) chamber excavated in the phloem, where mating occurs (Fransen 1939, Svihra & Clark 1980). Each mated female excavates a maternal tunnel (or egg gallery) in the phloem where eggs are laid along both sides. The maternal galleries run parallel to the wood fibers, without ramifications (Sinclair & Campana 1978, Burdekin 1979, Agrios 1988). Construction of the maternal tunnels and egg-laying take about three weeks (Betrem 1929). Larvae hatch about one week after oviposition, and immediately begin to bore characteristic larval galleries developing in an orthogonal direction from the maternal ones (Balachowsky 1949, Burdekin 1979, Agrios 1988). Larval galleries of Scolytus spp. are 60-150 mm long on average and they cross each other only rarely (Betrem 1929, Buismans 1932, Manojlović & Svicev 1995, Kletecka 1996). Larval tunnels become wider as the larvae develop and move away from the maternal gallery (Betrem 1929). Larvae feed in the phloem for about 30 days, passing through 5 developing instars before becoming fully grown (Fransen 1939). Shortly before pupation, mature larvae bore a pupal chamber in the external part of the sap-wood, where they metamorphose first into pupae (Webber & Brasier 1984, Webber 1990) and after about two weeks into adults (Zanta & Battisti 1990). The new callow adults then emerge from the bark of the host tree through a hole excavated directly from the pupal chamber (Kletecka 1996). After emergence, adults fly and disperse looking for healthy trees on which to reproduce. Elm bark beetles may be mono- or bivoltine. In favorable climatic conditions there are usually two generations per year (DellaBèffa 1949), the first starting in late spring (May-June) and emerging in late summer (August-September), the second beginning in autumn (September) overwintering as larvae, and emerging in the following spring (Betrem 1929, Buismans 1932, Fransen 1939, Laniérier & Peacock 1981, Zanta & Battisti 1990).

In all monogamous bark beetle species, before reproducing in the bark of dying trees, the newly emerged callow adults need a period of sexual maturation reached by feeding in crotches of 2-3 years old twigs of healthy and vigorous elms. The maturation feeding lasts a few days, during which the insects excavate short tunnels (2-4 cm long) in the twig phloem and sapwood (Fransen 1939, Webber & Brasier 1984). Twig feeding, resulting in their obstruction (Webber & Brasier 1984), ensuring the whole bark colonization (Peacock et al. 1971).

Elm bark beetles usually lay eggs in the phloem of weakened trees (Fig. 1). After finding and acceptance of the host, elm bark beetle females bore an entrance hole through the tree bark, communicating with a small mating (or nuptial) chamber excavated in the phloem, where mating occurs (Fransen 1939, Svihra & Clark 1980). Each mated female excavates a maternal tunnel (or egg gallery) in the phloem where eggs are laid along both sides. The maternal galleries run parallel to the wood fibers, without ramifications (Sinclair & Campana 1978, Burdekin 1979, Agrios 1988). Construction of the maternal tunnels and egg-laying take about three weeks (Betrem 1929). Larvae hatch about one week after oviposition, and immediately begin to bore characteristic larval galleries developing in an orthogonal direction from the maternal ones (Balachowsky 1949, Burdekin 1979, Agrios 1988). Larval galleries of Scolytus spp. are 60-150 mm long on average and they cross each other only rarely (Betrem 1929, Buismans 1932, Manojlović & Svicev 1995, Kletecka 1996). Larval tunnels become wider as the larvae develop and move away from the maternal gallery (Betrem 1929). Larvae feed in the phloem for about 30 days, passing through 5 developing instars before becoming fully grown (Fransen 1939). Shortly before pupation, mature larvae bore a pupal chamber in the external part of the sap-wood, where they metamorphose first into pupae (Webber & Brasier 1984, Webber 1990) and after about two weeks into adults (Zanta & Battisti 1990). The new callow adults then emerge from the bark of the host tree through a hole excavated directly from the pupal chamber (Kletecka 1996). After emergence, adults fly and disperse looking for healthy trees on which to reproduce. Elm bark beetles may be mono- or bivoltine. In favorable climatic conditions there are usually two generations per year (DellaBèffa 1949), the first starting in late spring (May-June) and emerging in late summer (August-September), the second beginning in autumn (September) overwintering as larvae, and emerging in the following spring (Betrem 1929, Buismans 1932, Fransen 1939, Laniérier & Peacock 1981, Zanta & Battisti 1990). In all monogamous bark beetle species, before reproducing in the bark of dying trees, the newly emerged callow adults need a period of sexual maturation reached by feeding in crotches of 2-3 years old twigs of healthy and vigorous elms. The maturation feeding lasts a few days, during which the insects excavate short tunnels (2-4 cm long) in the twig phloem and sapwood (Fransen 1939, Webber & Brasier 1984). Twig feeding,
which is a prerequisite for sexual maturation of callow adults, is associated also with restoration of the beetle reserves (food and water - Fransen 1939, Svhira & Clark 1980, Lanier & Peacock 1981, Heybroek et al. 1982, Lunderstadt & Rohde 1993). Although Scolytus beetles prefer twigs occurring on the upper part of the crown (Svhira & Clark 1980, Webber & Kirby 1983), feeding tunnels can be made in almost any young and sappy bark (Fransen 1939, Lanier & Peacock 1981, Webber & Kirby 1983). Scolytus beetles can stay in the feeding tunnels for up to 13 days (Fransen 1939). When sexual maturation is reached, adults fly away looking for weakened trees in which they lay eggs and start a new generation.

Interactions among elms, DED fungi and elm bark beetles
The mechanisms of pathogen transmission were deeply investigated in the past (Webber & Brasier 1984). Some authors considered the wind to be important in spreading fungal spores from infected plants to tissues of healthy trees exposed by wounds or pruning (Westerdijk & Buismann 1929, Smucker 1935). This hypothesis, however, was soon disproved, as anemochorial dispersion of the spores would be too casual and generic, and could not ensure ideal growing conditions to the pathogen for a rapid development (Goianidi & Goidanich 1937). Other authors suggested the rain as the most responsible of the conidial spread, allowing them to reach the leaf stomata (Schwarz 1922). However, in 1927 Marchal proposed that bark beetles could be vectors of O. ulmi s.l., as they migrate between infected and healthy elms (Marchal 1927). Although the pathogen may be transmitted effectively in several ways (Schwarz 1922, Westerdijk & Buismann 1929, Smucker 1935), elm bark beetles belonging to the genus Scolytus were found to be the most effective pathogen vectors (Marchal 1927, Fransen 1931, Jacot 1934, 1936, Collins et al. 1936, Webber & Brasier 1984, Webber 1990, Basset et al. 1992, Favaro & Battisti 1993, Battisti et al. 1994, Faccoli & Battisti 1997, Faccoli et al. 1998, Faccoli 2004). The definitive demonstration of Marchal’s theory was provided by Fransen (1931) and Fransen & Buismann (1935), who showed pathogen presence and proliferation in the short galleries bored by elm bark beetles in healthy plants during maturation feeding. Many other vectors were later identified, mainly mites and insects living on elms, but none as effective as bark beetles (Jacot 1934, 1936, Collins et al. 1936).

Elm bark beetles originally had a mutualistic ectosymbiosis with the indigenous saprobic fungus O. quercus, previously known as the hardwood biological species group, or OPH group, of O. piceae (Brasier 1990). Later, the arrival in Europe of the congeneric O. ulmi s.l., a fungus having niche requirements similar to O. quercus but far more aggressive, caused the complete replacement of the endemic fungus. The new association became an effective DED transmission pathway, with devastating consequences for elm survival.

The success of the pathogen-insect interactions is mainly due to the characteristic reproductively behavioral of the elm bark beetles, and largely depends on the occurrence of O. ulmi infected trees. The feeding activity of callow adults emerged from infected trees, and carrying pathogen conidia on their bodies, contaminates healthy trees and facilitates consequent development and movement of the pathogen within their wood vessels (Fransen & Buismann 1935, Goidanich 1936, Goidanich & Goidanich 1937, Gibbs 1974, Burdekin 1979, Webber & Kirby 1983, Webber & Brasier 1984). Basset et al. (1992) showed that a contact for at least 72 hours between infected beetles and xylem can be sufficient for the pathogen transmission. This is known as the pathogenic phase of the disease (Lea 1977, Gibbs & Smith 1978). The canopy of every tree may host several dozen callow adults, and the process can be repeated for several years; thus, healthy trees growing close to elms infected by O. ulmi s.l. and infested by elm bark beetles are exposed to a very high risk of infection. This transient phase is very important for pathogen spread, as it is the only way for the fungus to reach and infect isolated trees. Maturation feeding and pathogen infection weaken trees that will become attractive for mature reproductive adults of the following insect generations, which will be looking for dying (i.e., diseased) elms where to lay eggs in the bark of trunk and main branches. During bark infestation and excavation of the mating galleries, the mature adults again infect the hosts with the conidia carried on their tegument, fulfilling their role as vectors for a second time. Bark colonization by insects and pathogen on already infected elms (from the twigs) is known as the saprophytic phase of the disease (Lea 1977, Gibbs & Smith 1978). In this respect, maternal galleries and pupal chambers are an ideal micro-environment for both fungal growth and sporulation (Webber & Brasier 1984). Interestingly, in this phase two fungus isolates having different origins, one from previous maturation feeding and the other from the more recent bark colonization, may meet in the same tree. This gives to the pathogen more chances of sexual reproduction, being O. ulmi s.l. an obliged outcrossing fungus. The emerging beetle offspring developed in the phloem of infected trees will become new vector of fungal conidia and the dispersal cycle will start again (Webber & Brasier 1984). Not every wound due to maturation feeding results, however, in pathogen transmission (Fransen 1939). Parker et al. (1941) reported that 13% of all cases resulted in tree infection, whereas Webber & Brasier (1984) found that about 30% of feeding wounds were infected by O. ulmi s.l. Xylem infection may be a result either of a primary and direct spore transfer from the beetle into the xylem vessels or, more likely, of a secondary infection due to earlier pathogen colonization of the feeding wound followed by subsequent growth into the xylem tissues (Buismann 1932).

Host resistance
There is considerable variation among elm species in host resistance to DED. Asian elms are usually the most resistant (Smalley & Kais 1966, Ware 1995, Smalley & Guries 2000), while most North American elms are highly susceptible and European species are moderately to very susceptible (Gibbs 1978, Dunn 2000).

Compared with susceptible elms, both at species and individual level, naturally resistant elms show some peculiar anatomical features such as smaller (McNabb et al. 1970, Sinclair et al. 1975, Solla & Gil 2002a, 2002b, Solla et al. 2005b) and shorter vessels (Eilersma 1970, Ewers et al. 1990, Tyree & Zimmermann 2002), smaller pit membrane diameter and pit aperture area, lower pit membrane abundance per vessel wall, smaller ray width and ray tangential area (Martin et al. 2009). Anatomical features, however, show much variability also between and within species. For instance, the mean maximum vessel length and diameter are significantly higher in U. minor than in U. minor x pumila, and these differences increase with age (Martin et al. 2013).

Induced resistance to DED is associated strictly with the host’s capacity to quickly localize the infection, preventing the pathogen from spreading in the vascular system (Sinclair et al. 1975) and reaching the cambium (Shigo & Tippett 1981, Bonsen et al. 1985). Reactions taking place as a consequence of the infection may include vessel closing by tyloses, embolisms, accumulation of pectin and hemicelluloses (Eilersma 1982, Shigo 1982, Ouellette & Rioux 1992, Rioux et al. 1998), synthesis of chemicals such as phytalexin-like sesquiterpenes (Jeng et al. 1983, Duchesne et al. 1985, Sticklen et al. 1991), and formation of histological barriers typically containing phenols and suberin (Rioux & Ouellette 1991a, 1991b, Ouellette et al. 2004a, 2004b, Et-Touil et al. 2005).

Elm susceptibility to DED shows strong seasonal variation in both resistant and susceptible species. The period of highest susceptibility and its duration, i.e., the period during which trees can easily become infected varies greatly among elm species and even among elm populations and experimen-
tial conditions (Banfield 1941, 1968, Pomerleau 1965, 1968, Neely 1968, 1970, Smalley 1963, Tchernoff 1965, Smalley & Kais 1966, Santini et al. 2005a, Solla et al. 2005a). According to studies correlating susceptibility to O. ulmi s.l. with seasonal host phenology (Pomerleau 1965, Neely 1968, 1970, Takai & Kondo 1979, Solla et al. 2005a, Santini & Ghelardini, unpublished data), the period of highest susceptibility coincides with the seasonal maximum growth rate, recorded at the beginning of leaf expansion and formation of large spring xylem vessels, all genotype-dependent characters. The decrease in susceptibility observed from spring to late summer is also correlated to the seasonal changes in wood anatomy, i.e., the transition from early wood, characterized by large vessel elements, to late wood, made up of smaller cells with thicker cell walls (Pope 1943, Solla et al. 2005a). Pathogen development is, hence, highly dependent on the host’s seasonal morphogenesis and cambial activity, as well as on the pattern of longitudinal and radial growth of the host plant. Because efficient wood compartmentalization forces the plant to keep building new barriers, which limit the accessibility of its own reserves in the stem (Bonsen et al. 1985), the highest susceptibility occurs with low energy reserves, high growth rate, not fully efficient photosynthesis and large vessels (Ghelardini & Santini 2009). Since plant physiological processes are seasonally regulated, and elms are exposed to fungus inoculation only in a transitory and short phase of the vector life history (twig-crotch feeding phase), the infection will occur only if insect feeding phase and time of host susceptibility overlap. Thus, an asynchrony between these phenological phases may allow trees to avoid infection, representing a particular form of disease-escape resistance. For instance, although inoculated in the same day in central Italy, southern European clones of U. minor showed fewer disease symptoms than northern ones, with a significant direct relationship between disease severity and bud burst date (Santini et al. 2005a). As southern clones cultivated in central Italy flushed significantly earlier than northern ones (Ghelardini et al. 2006), this suggests that, at the inoculation date, the southern clones had already passed the period of maximum susceptibility, which for European elms begins 40-50 days after bud burst and lasts for a number of days related to environmental conditions and genotype (Tchernoff 1965, Smalley & Kais 1966, Santini & Ghelardini, unpublished data). Southern clones completed the formation of the large spring vessels and the production of latewood early, reducing their risk of infection (Pope 1943, Solla et al. 2005a, Santini & Ghelardini, unpublished data). These studies provided new information on susceptibility to DED, suggesting that early flushing is a mechanism of DED avoidance based on asynchrony between maximum susceptibility period and inoculation time (Santini et al. 2005a).

Variations in tree susceptibility related to different growth rhythms, caused by either seasons or differences in elm populations or clones (Sutherland & Brasier 1997), fit well with the growth-differentiation balance hypothesis (Herms & Mattson 1992). This hypothesis provides a framework for predicting how plants balance resource allocation between differentiation-related processes and growth-related processes over a range of environmental conditions, as it is known that resources cannot be allocated to both functions simultaneously (Lorio 1986). Resource availability is high in spring, when favorable temperatures are combined with plentiful water supply, a condition lasting for a short time in the Mediterranean climate until the early, hot and dry summer occurs. At this time of the year, elm energy reserves are already exhausted by the flowering process that occurs in late winter, and by the construction of the new porous ring, which has to provide nutrients for the expanding photosynthetic surfaces. Growing meristems behave as strong photosynthetic sinks, provisioned by carbon sources that include neighboring mature leaves (Marcelis 1996) and also newly formed leaves. No resources are dedicated to defense, which make elms especially susceptible to DED during spring, exactly in the period of the vessel’s inoculative phase. As time passes, resource availability and growth rate decrease so that photosynthates can be allocated to differentiation. This process could explain why inoculations by the second beetle generation in summer - which furthermore accounts for a lower percentage of vectors (Faccoli & Battisti 1997) - is less effective or totally ineffective in pathogen transmission compared to the first generation in spring. Because in early-flushing elms these phenological and physiological events occur earlier in spring, the emerging beetles will feed on trees having already mature leaves able to allocate carbohydrates to secondary metabolism, and therefore better defend against pathogen infections. In this period early-flushing elms are also already producing latewood that, being constituted of small and scattered vessels with a greater proportion of fibers, is less suitable for spore diffusion.

**Challenges for the nearby future**

Although we are learning much about insect-pathogen interactions and transmission mechanisms, many topics still deserve additional attention.

The systematic of species belonging to the genus *Scolytus* is one of the most complicated amongst bark beetles (Michalski 1973, Pfeffer 1995). The systematic position of elm bark beetle species must be better defined by sequencing specific regions of the DNA in phylogenetic studies, to provide a correct identification of the *Scolytus* species, as already done with other scolytid genera (Chang et al. 2014). Genetic tagging programs are also required on the host trees aiming to select new European elm clones with higher levels of DED resistance, based, for instance, on the bud-burst precocity (Santini et al. 2005a). This resistance could be exploited by specific studies exploring how different climatic conditions affect bud-burst date and growth rhythm, and the natural history (i.e., phenology and voltinism) of *Scolytus* spp. (Ghelardini & Santini 2009). Early flushing European elm clones could be selected to obtain elms avoiding natural infection through an asynchrony between host and insect phenology, both of which are regulated by temperature (Sinclair & Campana 1978, Sengonca & Leisse 1984, Ghelardini et al. 2010). Although many studies were conducted to select elm species and clones resistant to *O. ulmi* s.l. (Smalley & Guries 2000, Mittempergher & Santini 2004), no effort was made to identify mechanisms of combined resistance to both DED and elm bark beetles. Concerning pathogens, considerable progress has been made in genetic and systematic knowledge of the *Ophiostoma* species associated with elm bark beetles (Kiritsis 2013). The significance of the association, however, is still matter of discussion, especially regarding the role of fungi - and spore load carried by the beetles - in exhausting tree defenses, the relations between fungus pathogenicity and beetle aggressiveness, and their role in the tree killing process.

There have been very few morphological studies on the *Ulmus-Ophiostoma-Scolytus* system. Concerning insects, the structure and ultra-structure of the mycangia or mycetangia on the bark beetle tegument was deeply investigated in the past (Francke-Grosmann 1956a, 1956b, 1963a, 1963b, 1967, Batra 1963, Beaver 1989, Berryman 1989, Léviex et al. 1991), but not specifically in the *Scolytus* species except for a few preliminary observations (Faccoli 1995). The host-pathogen interactions leading to DED symptoms were recently analyzed in vitro by histo- and cyto-chemical tests. Callus cultures of susceptible *U. americana* were inoculated with the highly aggressive pathogen *O. novo-ulmi*. Inoculated callus tissues were then compared with water-treated callus tissues using TEM and SEM light microscopy. New aspects of these interactions were described, including histological observations - for the first time in plant callus cultures - on suberin, with its typical lamellar structure, and the intracellular presence of *O. novo-ulmi* (Aoun et al. 2009). Other SEM applications were the description of the typical tyloses in...
duced by the pathogen within the spring vessels of infected elms, or the tissue invasion process operated by blastocodium (Elgersma 1973, Elgersma & Heybroek 1979). However, the studies were limited to a simple description of the host reactions to pathogen attacks, and did not investigate the structure of the reaction tissues.

Chemical communication is of crucial importance to manage insect populations, DED epidemics and their damage to elms. Gaps in our knowledge concern, for instance, the selection mechanisms driving callow adult beetles in the plant choice for maturation feeding. In this phase, tree attractiveness to elm bark beetles is of primary importance for a susceptible plant to be infected by O. ulmi s.l. Therefore, once the chemical attractant has been identified a specific marker assisted tree-breeding program may be developed for both native European and American elm species. Such a tree-breeding strategy would avoid the use of Asian species as source of resistance, as they could become invasive, posing a threat to the native biodiversity (Zalapa et al. 2009, Brunet et al. 2013).

Because the number of papers published on different Scolytus species is directly related to the economic importance of the organisms, only biology and ecology of the most harmful species were investigated, while very little is known about the less common species - such as S. laevis, S. sulcifrons and S. triaratus - which, however, are recently showing an increasing importance as DED vectors. There is hence a dramatic lack of detailed information on less damaging species, although it would be very useful for comparison and generalization, and would certainly also lead to a better understanding of the reasons for the aggressiveness of the dangerous species. There is, therefore, a wide and regrettable gap in our knowledge concerning secondary, or less common, Scolytus species.

Research is also needed about the environmental factors affecting elm bark beetles and pathogen performance and their adaptation to new and changing environments, especially in relation to global change. New problems can emerge from climate change that modify the geographic distributions of pests, pathogen and hosts. Although the northward and upward spread of both DED epidemics and some Scolytus species (e.g., S. multistiratus in southern Sweden (Faccoli 2003, pers. obs., - or at higher altitudes on Apenines, Pecori et al. 2013) was recently associated to global warming, considerable knowledge gaps exist regarding the effects of these factors on insect aggressiveness and fungus pathogenicity in both Europe and North America. Very little information is also available regarding the relations between global change and tree resistance, although this topic represents a considerable component of modern researches. Characterizing the physiological status of trees in relation to their susceptibility is also needed prior to building risk prediction models in non-epidemic areas, such as Northern Europe and America. What will the responses be of elms, DED and elm bark beetles to increasing temperatures? In U. minor a large intra-specific variation in timing of bud burst was found to be mainly dependent on geographic origin. The bud burst date was directly related to latitude and altitude, i.e., temperature, with a greater chilling requirement for dormancy in northern areas or highlands than in southern or lowlands (Ghelardini et al. 2006). It can be hypothesized, for instance, that under climate warming European elms would start to flush earlier in most parts of their natural range, maybe escaping the pathogen infection (Santini et al. 2004, Ghelardini et al. 2010), but how the insect phenology will change in front to a warmer climate? The few models describing the population dynamics of pathogen and insects consider climatic conditions only marginally. They are generally never focused on changing environments, nor on the prediction of future impacts in new areas or on new elm species, as recently observed in Colorado following the introduction of the banded elm bark beetle, S. schryerwii Semenov from Siberia (Jacobi et al. 2007). Studied carried out at larger geographic scale should hence lead to more dynamic models.

Some factors suspected to be of secondary importance in the epidemic dynamics of DED were in the past largely underestimated. The case of natural enemies of the elm bark beetles should be, for instance, valuable for further research. Similarly, apart a few studies concerning the unattractiveness of U. laevis toward the Scolytus beetles (Sacchetti et al. 1990), neither the effects of intra- and inter-specific variations in the nutritional quality of elms nor the tree constitutive defenses against elm bark beetles were considered. Research on genetic variations in beetle/pathogen populations, especially by comparing endemic and epidemic areas, should also provide very useful information on their role in epidemic dynamics. Moreover, the possible occurrence and importance of transmission mechanisms different than those due to elm bark beetle maturation feeding or the occurrence of other possible vectors were completely explored (Covassì & Masutti 1980). For instance, the high efficiency of S. scolytus in spreading DED was recently suggested to be partly due to its association with two mites, Proctolaelaps scolytis Evans and Tarsonemus crassus (Schaaarschmidt), and the hypophoretic spores of O. novo-ulmi they carry (Moser et al. 2010). Another challenge concerns the emergence of new problems resulting from the rapid increase of international trade among continents, leading to the accidental introduction of new vector species, or new highly pathogenic strains, or new highly susceptible elm species in gardens and public parks. Introductions of new pathogens or vectors, for instance, may lead to new and unexpected associations, able to increase the fitness of both organisms with tremendous consequences for the host populations, as recorded in other insect-fungus associations (Battisti et al. 1999, Luchi et al. 2012).

Conclusion

The processes that regulate the current beetle-fungus symbiosis remain poorly understood. The relationships between elm bark beetles and O. ulmi s.l. depend on several factors, such as the climatic and environment characteristics, and the interactions between the components of the biotic community, including, for example, the d-factor, a co-ecologically transmitted virus disease of Ophiostoma ulmi s.l. (Brasier 1983). Commonly to other bark beetle-fungus symbiosis, all these factors play important roles in determining composition, fidelity and longevity of the association between beetles and DED fungi (Six 2012).

Insects play a role of primary concern in transmitting diseases to elms, like in instance several species of leaf-hoppers identified as vectors of the elm yellow phytoplasma (Carraro et al. 2004).

There are thus several aspects that still deserve to be studied for a better understanding of the pathogen-insect interactions. In addressing these issues, a holistic approach is highly recommended, taking into account all the many factors affecting pathogen transmission and disease spread.
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