Stem-injection of herbicide for control of *Ailanthus altissima* (Mill.) Swingle: a practical source of power for drilling holes in stems
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*Ailanthus altissima* is a well-known invasive tree in many parts of the world showing an outstanding ability to establish within woodlands and other less disturbed habitats. Previous studies have reported that control of this species is very difficult because of its strong resprouting. Indeed, effective control can be achieved only by mechanical treatment followed by the application of a systemic herbicide. Operating drills or saws and other mechanical equipment require electric power supply which is not readily available in many invaded sites, notably shrublands and woodlands. In two study sites, we evaluated control obtained by glyphosate through a stem-injection technique and compared two sources of power for the electric drill used. More than 90% of the glyphosate-treated trees in this study appeared to be dead just 1 month after treatments, and a car battery plus inverter was the most practical electrical source for drilling stems in the field.
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**Introduction**

Invasive species are recognized as one of the main threats to European forests, especially at regional level (Requardt et al. 2009). One of the most dangerous alien tree all around the world is *Ailanthus altissima* (Mill.) Swingle (hereafter *A. altissima*), which is particularly widespread in temperate and Mediterranean regions (Kowarik & Säumel 2007). The species was introduced from China to Europe in the second half of the 18th century, and was initially used as an ornamental plant but later also for the urban forestation and for erosion control of steep slopes (Badalamenti et al. 2012). Nowadays it is considered a major invader in many parts of the United States (Anonymous 2012), in most of temperate and Mediterranean Europe (Lambdon et al. 2008), and throughout Italy (Celesti-Grapow et al. 2010) including Sicily (Badalamenti et al. 2012). *A. altissima* has a wide ecological range, due to its very high growth rate, effective reproductive and dissemination systems, and general lack of natural enemies (Kowarik & Säumel 2007) in its secondary range. For these reasons *A. altissima* can compete effectively against the native flora in disturbed and degraded sites, such as roadsides and other urban areas, including archaeological sites (Celesti-Grapow & Blasi 2004). *A. altissima* has also invaded natural habitats, along forest edges and open gaps (Knapp & Canham 2000), especially in the presence of anthropic disturbance. For instance, occasional fires favors *A. altissima* spread because of its impressive capacity of resprouting by injured stumps and superficial roots (Meggaro & Vilà 2002, DiTomaso et al. 2006). In addition to damaging ecosystems, *A. altissima* causes serious problems for forest management (Meggaro & Vilà 2002, Constán-Nava et al. 2010) because it competes directly with the Woody species of silvicultural interest and makes forest utilization more difficult and expensive. Under favorable conditions, *A. altissima* can form almost pure stands, which obviously reduce biodiversity and change the abiotic characteristics of the ecosystems, including the chemical and physical characteristics of the soil (Vilà et al. 2006). Within only a few years after its initial invasion and in the absence of appropriate control, this fast-growing tree makes the recovery and restoration of native vegetation extremely difficult. For these characteristics, *A. altissima* is considered a fast-growing and contamination-resistant species (Capuana 2011).

The dramatic ecological consequences of *A. altissima* invasion have long been recognized especially in the Mediterranean basin, where it has been recently included in the EPIDEMIE project (“Exotic Plant Invasions: Deleterious Effects on Mediterranean Island Ecosystems”), supported by the European Commission (Traveset et al. 2008, Vilà et al. 2008, Affre et al. 2010). One of the main reason why *A. altissima* is a successful invader in Mediterranean-type regions is its ability to cope with summer drought. In particular, this species is able to reduce water loss through a marked reduction in stomatal conductance and in root hydraulic conductivity (Graves et al. 1991, Trifilo et al. 2004).

Like other Mediterranean island ecosystems, Sicily has experienced substantial *A. altissima* invasion, and despite this its establishment and spread process have seldom been documented or studied. In particular this tree is able to invade a wide range of pedo-climatic environments and is increasingly common throughout the island in natural and semi-natural habitats, from sea level up to 1300 m a.s.l. (Badalamenti et al. 2012).

Because of the serious damage caused by *A. altissima* worldwide, many control trials have been carried out in many different regions, including Mediterranean Europe, the United States, and Canada (Burch & Zedaker 2003, Meloche & Murphy 2006, DiTomaso & Kyser 2007, Constán-Nava et al. 2010, Bowker & Stringer 2011). These field experiences have clearly demonstrated that the eradication of *A. altissima* is extremely difficult and expensive, as mechanical treatment alone (cutting the trunk at the root collar or girdling the tree) does not kill the tree, but rather stimulates vigorous resprouting from the remaining trunk and roots (Burch & Zedaker 2003, Basnou & Vilà 2009, Kowarik & Säumel 2007). Even a “double-cut stump treatment” performed each year for 5 consecutive years failed to significantly reduce the ability to resprout (Constán-Nava et al. 2010). Only the application of herbicides combined with physical treatment has effectively controlled regrowth and significantly reduced the presence of *A. altissima* in invaded areas (Burch & Zedaker 2003, Meloche & Murphy 2006, DiTomaso & Kyser 2007, Constán-Nava et al. 2010, Bowker & Stringer 2011). For example, the combined application of heavy mechanical treatments and herbicides suppressed *A. al-

*A. altissima* in Carrascal de la Font Roja Natural Park (Alicante Province, SE Spain), where the first signs of recovery by the natural vegetation were observed 5 years after the treatments were applied (Constán-Nava et al. 2010).

One of the herbicides that has given satisfactory control of *A. altissima* is glyphosate, so that it is considered “a mainstay of woody plant vegetation management” (Blair et al. 2006). In our study we used this chemical, and we attempted to identify a practical way to control *A. altissima* in woodlands and other remote areas where main electricity is not easily accessed.

**Materials and methods**

**The study sites**

The trials were carried out at two sites near Palermo (NW Sicily) at altitudes between 50 and 100 m a.s.l. and over surfaces of about 500 m². The first site was an abandoned orchard in a suburban environment (38° 06’ 28.91” N, 13° 19’ 24.91” E), and the second site was a semi-natural community afforested with *Pinus halepensis* Mill (38° 05’ 40.82” N, 13° 15’ 41.32” E). Both sites have been heavily invaded by *A. altissima* and share a typical Mediterranean climate, with about 600 mm of rainfall per year, concentrated during the coldest months. The average annual temperature is 19 °C (Drago et al. 2002). Despite similar climatic conditions, the two sites are ecologically different because of differences in management. At the first site, the abandonment of cultivation has enabled the rapid invasion by *A. altissima*, and although some orchard trees are still evident, *A. altissima* is the dominant species. At the second site, the presence of a simplified forest ecosystem, due to frequent human disturbance, along with the absence of control interventions, have favored *A. altissima* spread. This non-native tree is able to establish quickly in forest clearings and along forest borders, from which it gradually spreads into adjacent forested areas.

**Treatments**

Experiments were carried out in early August, late in the vegetative growth of *A. altissima*, when an injected herbicide is readily translocated to the roots (Hoshovsky 1988). In each site a total of 40 trees, randomly chosen, were treated with undiluted glyphosate (Myrto® 360 SL, NUFARM Italia srl, Milan - 360 g l⁻¹) that was injected into holes drilled into the stems. One ml of the herbicide was used when DBH (diameter at breast height) of trees was lower than 8 cm (20 trees per site), while 2 mL were used when DBH was larger than 8 cm (20 trees per site). The average DBH of the stand was 14 cm. Trunk holes were located 1 m above the soil surface, about 5 cm deep into the stem or trunk. One hole was drilled into each tree. We also compared drill bits (Krino®, Italy) with a diameter ranging from 8 to 10 mm. Drilling a few holes, we preliminarily verified that 10-mm diameter drill bits are best suited to put the fixed rate of glyphosate. The herbicide was injected with a plastic syringe. The injected holes were pointed downward (at 45°), and after injection each hole was sealed with a wound-sealing compound in order to reduce the chance of herbicide spreading from the application site to the surrounding vegetation. The canopy of the treated trees was visually assessed about 1 month (September 2011, short-term evaluation) and 8 months (April 2012, long-term evaluation) after treatments.

**The control techniques applied in the field**

The availability of main electricity in remote areas is a recurring problem, and we therefore compared a drill with rechargeable battery (cordless drill, Black & Decker®), and a car battery (12 V, 70 Ah, Unienergy®, Italy) plus one car battery charger (Life®, Italy), and one inverter (GBC, Italy) to transform a 12 V DC to 220V AC, as to connect the car battery to the drill - see Fig. 1). In the first site 30 stems were drilled with power system one and 10 with the power system two, while in the second site we applied only the power system two. We took into account not only the working speed, but also technical and operational feasibility of these two possible sources of power.

**Results**

In both studied areas more than 90% of the glyphosate-treated *A. altissima* trees appeared to be dead one month after treatments and these results were confirmed 8 months later (Tab. 1). Non-treated *Ailanthus* trees and non-target woody species within the sampling areas remained healthy.

Comparing the two drilling systems adopted in the present study, we found that cordless drill usually works for no more than one hour, allowing the treatment of about 30

![Fig. 1 - Recommended equipment for drilling for stem-injection technique. Before the battery is taken to the field, it is charged with the blue inverter, which is connected to the electricity grid via a plug (A) and connected to the battery via the positive and negative poles (B and C, which are not connected to the battery in the figure). In the field, the charged battery feeds a second inverter via cables (F and G - via the positive and negative poles D and E), and the inverter supplies power via another cable to the drill.](image-url)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study sites</th>
<th>Dead trees after one month (%)</th>
<th>Dead trees after eight months (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abandoned orchards. Trees with DBH &lt; 8 cm</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abandoned orchards. Trees with DBH &gt; 8 cm</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pinus halepensis</em> afforestation. Trees with DBH &lt; 8 cm</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pinus halepensis</em> afforestation. Trees with DBH &gt; 8 cm</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Tab. 2 - Comparison between three electrical sources used to power the drill.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Cordless drill</th>
<th>Drill (with car battery)</th>
<th>Electrical drill</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Practical efficiency (in woods or in mountains)</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>None (impossible to get used)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency (number of trees treated with one charged battery)</td>
<td>About 30</td>
<td>About 180</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of a fully charged battery</td>
<td>Approximately one hour</td>
<td>Approximately six hours</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working speed (over small areas)</td>
<td>The highest</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>(only near electrical supply)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

stems. By contrast, the second method, consisting of a fully charged car battery connected to an inverter and to the drill, allowed to drill the remaining 50 trees (10 in the first site and 40 in the second site) before running out of power. As we verified that a fully charged car battery lasts about 6 hours, up to 180 stems could be treated with a single battery recharge (Tab. 2).

Discussion

Effective methods for controlling *A. altissima* are urgently needed all around the world and should be immediately implemented, especially into woodlands and other forested sites heavily invaded by this fast-growing tree. Previous studies concerning the control of *A. altissima* by stem-injection of herbicides (Burch & Zedaker 2003; Meloche & Murphy 2006, DiTomaso & Kyser 2007, Constán-Nava et al. 2010, Bowker & Stringer 2011) do not provide detailed information on which application methods are practical and actually feasible in the field. In this work, we applied an effective and simple way to clear *Ailanthus*-infested areas also in faraway, hardly-accessible, rugged locations, as in many forest sites in southern Italy.

We have chosen to use the glyphosate - a non-selective systemic herbicide - because of its effectiveness in other control trials concerning *A. altissima* (e.g., Constán-Nava et al. 2010), and we chose stem-injection technique because it can be applied to many trees in fairly short time, minimizing the quantity of required herbicide (DiTomaso & Kyser 2007, Meloche & Murphy 2006). In other experiments, glyphosate has also been used to fill the cut in trunks caused by “machele” (Buddenhagen et al. 2004), while in Palermo city (Sicily) local gardeners are used to control *Ailanthus*-infested sites by injecting glyphosate in holes created by drill.

Herbicide injection has to be carried out very carefully, as glyphosate may cause skin or eyes irritation after direct exposure in humans, and also some animal species, in particular amphibians and fishes, are susceptible to poisoning (Bradberry et al. 2004, Howe et al. 2004, Ayoola 2008, Relyea & Jones 2009). This is particularly true for some glyphosate-based heritage, which are specifically toxic in aquatic environments. However, in terrestrial ecosystems glyphosate has low mobility, as it is rapidly adsorbed by soil colloids and eventually inactivated by microbial degradation (Schuette 1998, Busse et al. 2001). For this reason, glyphosate is one of the most commonly used herbicides in natural areas (Tu et al. 2001 - see also references therein). To prevent any possible environmental risk, stem injection joined with the subsequent cover of the drilled holes is the safest technique to be used in natural habitats or in areas to be preserved. Our experiments confirmed that glyphosate injection could be a safe method of control even in presence of native significant species.

According to DiTomaso & Kyser (2007), we found that the application rate of 1 mL of glyphosate per tree is effective when DBH is < 8 cm, but 2 mL is needed when DBH ≥ 8 cm. Our results are consistent with other researches which provided a high mortality of plants treated by stem-injection or with the EZ-Ject® application system (Meloche & Murphy 2006, DiTomaso & Kyser 2007, Bowker & Stringer 2011), also in the case where sprouts following a cut-stump treatment (Harrington & Miller 2005, Meloche & Murphy 2006, DiTomaso & Kyser 2007, Constán-Nava et al. 2010).

Although previous studies demonstrated that herbicide is best applied via holes drilled into the stem (e.g., DiTomaso & Kyser 2007), the electrical source to power the drill was not previously taken into consideration. Use of the electrical drill is only feasible when the electrical supply is close to the interventions areas, though the presence of long electrical cables in the field is unsafe, mainly when the terrain is rough or steep. Generators are quite efficient but are usually heavy to be carried and thus are suitable only for treating small and easily-accessible areas. A cordless drill would be the best solution, but the batteries usually cannot supply adequate power; its use is therefore discouraged unless the unit can be readily recharged or treatment has to be applied to few trees. Based on our field trials, we recommend the use as power supply of a fully charged car battery (usually delivering a peak current of 450 A). Car batteries are relatively light, can therefore be readily moved to different locations in the field, and can be used with short cables. Although they are heavier than a cordless drill, car batteries are able to supply power for a much longer time (see Tab. 2) and so they should be suitable for *Ailanthus* control in natural settings, over wide areas and on hillsides. As for the drill regards bits, 10-cm-long and 10 mm-diameter helical bits enable to drill holes quickly and with little effort.

Conclusion

In conclusion, invasion by *A. altissima* is a serious problem all around the world, and calls for suitable solutions to hinder its unobstructed spread. Although injection of glyphosate (and of other herbicides) into holes drilled into stems kills the trees, a power supply is needed for the drill, and obtaining electrical power in remote areas can be difficult. We think that our technique could be readily applied in the field, not only in suburban areas but also in natural areas such as forests and other woodlands.
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