Carbon emission reduction potentials through thinned wood in Japan

Etô H, Sasaki N, Chay S, Ninomiya H

Substituting fossil fuel with woody biomass for bioelectricity production has great potentials for carbon emission reductions while increasing forest productivity to increase carbon sequestration and improve ecological functionalities. Until recently, study on such potentials was very limited. Beginning in 2007, Japan’s special budgets were allocated for a 6-year intensive thinning on about 3.3 million ha of young stands for increasing carbon sinks in Japanese forests to meet the capped amount of 47.7 Tg CO$_2$ year$^{-1}$ allowed under the Marrakesh Accord. Because of only 30% of the thinned wood were used for sawtimber, CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ must have been emitted from the disposed thinned wood and wood waste. Such emissions and reduction potentials need to be assessed to provide future alternatives for climate change mitigation. We assessed carbon emission reduction potentials when woody biomass from thinned wood is fully utilized for bioelectricity production as compared with the generation of the same amount of energy produced under coal, oil, and natural gas scenarios. Our analytical results show that if all disposed thinned wood and wood waste are utilized to generate energy, about 62.6, 58.3, and 37.8 Tg CO$_2$ year$^{-1}$ could be prevented from emitting depending on emission scenarios or about 33.2, 30.9, and 20.0% of Japan’s reduction commitment to the Kyoto Protocol. On the other hand, if thinned wood and wood waste are not utilized, about 13.4 Tg CO$_2$ year$^{-1}$ would be released due to thinning. Our results suggest that incentives to reduce emission reductions in forest sector in the future climate change mitigation agreements will likely lead to large emission reductions, otherwise leakages due to thinning are unavoidable.

Keywords: Bioenergy policy, Carbon sinks, Disposed thinned wood, Thinning, Woody biomass

Introduction

Japan is a signatory country to the Kyoto Protocol of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC). Like other signatory countries, Japan is required to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. Japan’s greenhouse gas reduction target for the first commitment period of the Kyoto Protocol (2008-2012) is 6% below the 1990 baseline level. However, as greenhouse gas emissions continued to increase to 1.374 million tons CO$_2$ in 2007 (1 million tons CO$_2$ = 1 Tg CO$_2$), an increase of 8.7% from the 1990 baseline level, Japan is therefore required to reduce 14.7% below the current level (Ministry of Environment 2009a) in order to fulfill its reduction commitment. In meeting reduction commitment, several reduction options are allowed under the protocol. One of the options is the enhancement of carbon sinks through forest management, which was agreed in the Marrakesh Accord in 2001 (UNFCCC 2002). Japan has selected to use forest carbon sinks option for meeting its reduction commitment to the Kyoto Protocol (2008-2012). Carbon sinks from forest management in Japan are capped at 47.7 Tg CO$_2$ year$^{-1}$ or 3.9% of the 6% reduction committed by Japanese government. However, recent studies suggested that carbon sinks in Japanese forests could unlikely reach that capped amount (Sasaki & Kim 2009, Matsumoto 2008) if intensive thinning to accelerate forest growth is not implemented. Foreseeing this shortfall, Japanese Forestry Agency implemented special additional thinning activities on 0.20 million ha of forest area in addition to regular thinning of 0.35 million ha year$^{-1}$. With this additional area for thinning, altogether 0.55 million ha year$^{-1}$ or 3.3 million ha of young stands are thinned over a 6-year period beginning in 2007 (Forestry Agency 2008b). Special budget for this additional thinning (0.20 million ha) was 76.5 billion yen in 2007, 54.6 billion yen in 2008, and 62.0 billion yen in 2009. On average, the special budget for additional thinning activities is 64.34 billion yen year$^{-1}$ (US$769.9 million at a current rate of US$1 = 83.57 yen) for thinning 0.20 million ha or about 321 700 yen ha$^{-1}$ ($3,849.57). More budgets are also expected for another 3 years, but information is not yet available. Because only 30% of the thinned wood (personal communications with Forestry Agency officer) are generally used for producing sawnwood, the remaining 70% of the thinned wood (disposed thinned wood hereafter) and wood waste (the latter is accumulated at the sawmills when thinned wood is further processed for sawnwood) are left behind in the forests and at the sawmills, respectively. As such disposed thinned wood and wood waste gradually emit greenhouse gases such methane and CO$_2$ (Yamazaki 2009, Gardner et al. 1993, Jones et al. 2010), alternative use of this disposed thinned wood and associated wood wastes such as for the use as biomass to substitute the use of fossil fuel for power generation should be explored or, in the long run, carbon emissions from thinning cannot be avoided. Although the current accounting rules for the Kyoto agreement include emission reductions in energy, transport, and industrial sectors, carbon emission reduction potentials due to thinning activities in forest sector need to be explicitly assessed for better informed decision making. Thinned wood and wood waste are important source of woody biomass for bioenergy production. Using woody biomass from thinned materials and logging residues is an important energy management consideration (Kimoshita et al. 2009, Sasaki et al. 2009) and could contribute to reducing the amount of greenhouse gases emissions (Sasaki et al. 2009). In this paper, we assess the potential woody biomass available from the Forestry Agency’s 6-year management plan for bioelectricity production and compare potential emission reductions if woody biomass is substituted for coal, oil, or natural gas in the production of electricity. We also examine policies for promoting woody biomass utilization in Japan. The paper is structured as follow: (1) woody biomass available from thinning and related wood processing is analyzed, wood bioenergy is estimated; carbon emission reduction potentials are compared against three scenarios of using coal, oil, and natural gas for the same amount of energy generated from the burning of woody biomass; and (2) bioenergy policy for promoting the utilization of woody biomass is discussed.
Materials and Methods

Forests in Japan

In 2007, Japan has a total forest area of 25.1 million ha or about 66.4% of the country’s total land area (Forestry Agency 2009). Area of plantation forests accounts for 42.2% of the total forest area followed by 53.3% natural forests, and 4% bamboo and other forests. Approximately 97% of the planted species are coniferous tree species such as Sugi (Japanese cedar, Cryptomeria japonica), Hinoki (Japanese cypress, Chamaecyparis obtusa), Karamatsu (Japanese Larch, Larix kaempferi), Todomatsu (Sakhalin fir, Abies sachalinensis), and Eozomatsu (Jezo Spruce, Picea jezoensis). Of the 10.1 million ha of plantation forests, Sugi, Hinoki, Karamatsu, and Todomatsu cover about 44.8%, 25.8%, 10.2%, and 7.8%, respectively. About 65.0% of plantation forests are owned by private companies or individuals, 22.8% are owned by the central government under the jurisdiction of the forestry agency, and the rest are mostly owned by local governments such as prefectures and districts. For natural forests, about 53.9% are owned by private companies or individuals, 35.1% are owned by the central government, and the rest are owned by local governments. Due to the decline of domestic timber price since 1990s (Ota 2010), many plantation forests are left unmanaged, especially privately owned forests even if the majority of the forests reach the ages for thinning to accelerate the growth and yield. Currently, approximately 60-80% of the plantation forests under age classes of 4 through 15 (one age class is 5 years - Tab. 1) require immediate thinning (MAFF 2010), but such thinning activities are impossible without government subsidies. In recent years, Japanese government through its forestry agency has increasingly promoted and provided subsidies for thinning the forests for achieving maximum carbon sinks as well as improving the ecological and environmental functions of the forests.

Data Sources

Data on standing stocks and forest areas by age classes of young stands to be thinned were obtained from forestry statistics published by the Forestry Agency (2008a).

Data Analysis

There are three categories of woody biomass in this paper, namely woody biomass from disposed thinned wood, that of branches and top logs of used thinned wood, and that of wood waste created by the processing of thinned wood at the sawmills. To estimate the amount of woody biomass available from thinning activities, we needed to obtain the amount of wood thinned during the 6-year period. The average of thinned wood, thinned available for woody biomass production, and thinned wood used for producing sawnwood can be estimated by the following equations (eqn. 1, eqn. 2, eqn. 3, respectively):

\[ TW = \sum_{i} SV_i \]
\[ TWS = TW \cdot (1 - 0.3) \]

where \( TW \) is the average volume of all thinned stands for all age classes (m³ ha⁻¹ year⁻¹); \( SV_i \) is the total stand volume corresponding to age class \( i (1 = 4-15; \text{m}³); S_A \) is the total area of thinned stands in age class \( i \), and \( r \) is the thinning rate. Due to the lack of information for thinning rate for individual age classes for the entire country, \( r \) was assumed to be 20% of stand volume \( (r = 0.2) \) based on Ejiiri (1990). \( TWS \) is the amount of thinned wood available as woody biomass for bioelectricity production (m³ ha⁻¹). \( TWS \) is the amount of thinned wood processed to produce sawn wood products (m³ ha⁻¹ - Tab. 1). According to the Forestry Agency (personal communications), 30% of the thinned wood is processed for use as sawn wood products and the remaining 70% is left in the forests. Therefore, 0.3 is used as the proportion in eqn. 2 and eqn. 3.

Potential woody biomass (BM) for the 6-year period was estimated as follows (eqn. 4):

\[ BM = (TWS \cdot WD \cdot BEF) \]
\[ + (TWS \cdot WD \cdot (BEF - 1)) \]
\[ + (TWS \cdot WD \cdot (1 - a)) \cdot TA \]

where \( BM \) is the total potential woody biomass for the period (Tg); \( WD \) is wood density (WD = 0.369 Mg m⁻³), based on the weighted average of wood density of Sugi (0.314), Hinoki (0.407), Karamatsu (0.404), Todomatsu (0.319), Eozomatsu (0.348), and other coniferous trees (0.423) reported in the National Greenhouse Gas Inventory Report of Japan (GIO/CGER 2010); \( BEF \) is the bio mass expansion factor \( BEF = 1.5 \) - NIES 2007; \( BEF - 1 \) is the proportion of branches and top logs left in the forests (the case, when thinned wood is exported to sawmills for sawnwood production); \( TA \) is the total thinned area for the period (million ha); and \( a \) is the wood processing efficiency rate for sawn wood production \( (a = 0.65 - Yoshimoto \& Marušák 2007) \). Note that \( (TWS \cdot WD \cdot BEF) \cdot TA \) is woody biomass from disposed thinned wood, \( (TWS \cdot WD \cdot (BEF - 1)) \cdot TA \) is branches and top logs of used thinned wood, \( (TWS \cdot WD \cdot (1 - a)) \cdot TA \) is wood waste at the sawmill.

Wood bioenergy from the utilization of woody biomass can be calculated as (eqn. 5):

\[ BE = BM \cdot 20 \]

where \( BE \) is the amount of bioenergy produced from the total woody biomass (PJ). According to Hall (1997) and Sasaki et al. (2009), 1 Tg of biomass can generate 20 PJ of bioenergy, so a factor of 20 was used in eqn. 5.

The corresponding carbon emissions were calculated as follows for the equivalent amounts of energy produced under coal, oil, and natural gas scenarios (eqn. 6):

\[ ES_i = BE \cdot S_i \]

where \( ES_i \) is the amount of carbon emissions.
Carbon emission reduction potentials through thinned wood in Japan

Tab. 2 - Conversion factors used in our study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Equation</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wood density (WD) (Tg m⁻³)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>0.3372</td>
<td>Yoshimoto &amp; Marušák (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomass expansion factor (BEF)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>NIES (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sawn processing efficiency (a)</td>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>Yoshimoto &amp; Marušák (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy content in woody biomass (PJ Tg⁻¹)</td>
<td>(6)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Sasaki et al. (2009), Hall (1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emissions from burning coal (Tg CO₂ PJ⁻¹)</td>
<td>(7)</td>
<td>0.265</td>
<td>Fridleifsson et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emissions from burning oil (Tg CO₂ PJ⁻¹)</td>
<td>(8)</td>
<td>0.248</td>
<td>Fridleifsson et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emissions from burning natural gas (Tg CO₂ PJ⁻¹)</td>
<td>(9)</td>
<td>0.166</td>
<td>Fridleifsson et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methane to CO₂ conversion (Tg CO₂ TgCH₄⁻¹)</td>
<td>(10)</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>Lancashire County Council (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂ emissions from disposed wood, branches, and wood waste (Tg CO₂)</td>
<td>(11)</td>
<td>1.04808</td>
<td>Jones et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methane emissions from disposed wood, branches, and wood waste (Tg CH₄)</td>
<td>(11)</td>
<td>0.0113</td>
<td>Jones et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

for each scenario i (Tg CO₂); S is the emission factor of i-th scenario (i = coal, oil, gas). According to Fridleifsson et al. (2008), Scoal is 0.265 Tg CO₂ PJ⁻¹, Soil is 0.248 Tg CO₂ PJ⁻¹, and Sgas is 0.166 Tg CO₂ PJ⁻¹ (Tab. 2).

By assuming that emission reductions due to thinning in forest sector (excluded landfill in the dump sites, which is already accounted in the Kyoto Protocol) are also included in the climate change mitigation agreement, then we can calculate the carbon emission reduction potential (RP) for the first commitment period of the Kyoto Protocol for each scenario using the following equation (eqn. 7)

\[ RP = \frac{ES - PE}{6 / JC} \times 100 \]

where RP is the emissions reduction potential for each scenario (%); ES is the amount of emissions under the i-th scenario (Tg CO₂); PE is the bioenergy production emissions produced as a result of the thinning process and the use of woody biomass as fuel (Tg CO₂); and JC is Japan’s Kyoto Protocol emission reduction commitment of 13.7% below the baseline 1990 emissions (compared to emission level in 2007) for 2008-2012 (Tg CO₂ year⁻¹).

PE in eqn. 7 can be derived as follows (eqn. 8):

\[ PE = 2.2TE(TA + bBE) \]

where TE is the amount of emissions produced from thinning activities (Tg CO₂), and b is the emissions factor from burning biomass \( b = 0.014 \) Tg CO₂ PJ⁻¹ - Lancashire County Council 2010. Due to lack of data, transport emissions were assumed to be equal to TE.

TE in eqn. 8 is derived as follows (eqn. 9):

\[ TE = GS \cdot GC \]

where GS is the amount of fuel consumed in thinning 1 ha of forest \( GS = 92.1 \) ha⁻¹ (Tottori Prefecture 2008), and GC is the amount of carbon dioxide emitted in burning 1 of gasoline \( GC = 0.00235 \) MgCO₂⁻¹.

The emission factors for the waste of disposed thinned wood, branches, and wood waste from processing are CH₄ = 0.00113 Mg CH₄ Mg⁻¹ for methane and CO₂ = 1.04808 Mg CO₂ Mg⁻¹ for CO₂ (Jones et al. 2010), and the CH₄ emission conversion factor to CO₂ is 21.

Results

The average volume of all thinned wood from the stands was calculated to be 47.2 m³ ha⁻¹, of which 33.1 m³ ha⁻¹ (70%) is wood available as woody biomass for bioelectricity production and 14.2 m³ ha⁻¹ is wood available to produce sawn wood. The total potential woody biomass was estimated to be 68.6 Tg from the thinning of 3.30 million ha of young stands over the 6-year period. Of the 68.6 Tg, 55.2%, 7.9%, and 5.5% are that of disposed thinned wood, branches and top logs, and wood waste at the sawmills, respectively. If all this biomass is utilized for bioenergy production, about 1.371.7 PJ or 228.6 PJ year⁻¹ can be generated from burning the woody biomass, which would account for 2.3% of the total production of electricity in Japan (9 760.6 PJ) in 2006 (FEPC 2010).

The three emission reduction scenarios were also examined, in which coal, oil, and natural gas were burned to produce the same amount of electricity (602.5 PJ). After deducting production emissions due to thinning 20.6 Tg CO₂, total reductions for the 6-year period were about 375.3, 349.8, and 226.7 Tg CO₂ for coal, oil, and natural gas scenarios, respectively, or about 62.6, 58.3, and 37.8 Tg CO₂ year⁻¹. These emission reduction potentials account for 33.2%, 30.9%, and 20.0% of Japan’s annual reduction commitment of 188.7 Tg CO₂ (using 2007 data), when woody biomass substitutes for coal, oil, and natural gas, respectively, in the production of electricity (Tab. 3). Although there are large emission reductions

Tab. 3 - Emissions reduction potentials under each emission scenario. (*): Japan is committed to reduce 6.0% of the greenhouse gas emissions below the 1990 level (baseline). As emissions continue to increase to 8.7% more in 2007, Japan must reduce 188.7 Tg CO₂ year⁻¹ (6.0 + 8.7 = 14.7 %) in order to fulfill its Kyoto commitment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Burning</th>
<th>Reduction potential (Tg CO₂)</th>
<th>Percentage of reduction commitment by Japan* (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Per 6 years</td>
<td>Per year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compared coal burning</td>
<td>375.3</td>
<td>62.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compared oil burning</td>
<td>349.8</td>
<td>58.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compared natural gas</td>
<td>226.7</td>
<td>37.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
from the used of thinned wood, the current accounting rules under the Kyoto protocol do not account for such emission reductions. If not utilized, disposed thinned wood, branches, and wood wastes at wood processing mills result in emissions of about 70.4 Tg CO$_2$ equivalent or 13.4 Tg CO$_2$ year$^{-1}$.

**Discussion**

**Critical need for including forest sector’s carbon emission reductions in the future climate agreement**

Our results show that, depending on the emission scenario, substitution of woody biomass for fossil fuel for energy generation could potentially reduce carbon emissions by 37.8 - 62.6 Tg CO$_2$ year$^{-1}$ or about 20.0 - 33.2% of Japan’s reduction commitment under the Kyoto Protocol. The utilization of disposed thinned wood and wood waste has huge potentials for emission reductions. On the other hand, large emissions can not be avoided if utilization of such wood and waste are not promoted. Worse yet, if emissions from disposed thinned wood and associated wood waste (excluding landfills in the dump sites) are counted in the current carbon accounting system, Japan needs to reduce more emissions in order to meet the reduction targets. The exclusion of carbon emission reductions due to thinning in forest sector in the current accounting rules of the Kyoto protocol may also contribute to the non-utilization of disposed thinned wood and wood waste in Japan. Nevertheless, as long as climate change mitigation is concerned, it is therefore critically important that future climate change mitigation agreements also include thinning-driven emission reductions from other sectors such as forest sector. Meanwhile, as long as thinning continues, Japan should promote the utilization of disposed thinned wood and wood waste, otherwise actual emission reductions will not be achieved.

**Need of incentive and appropriate policies**

As reported in previous studies, costs were the major obstacle for wood-based bioelectricity production in Japan. For instance, Ooki (2003) argued that expansion of bioelectricity production from thinned wood is financially infeasible. Endo (2006) reported that the costs for thinning, collecting, transporting and processing of thinned wood are high making it impossible to introduce wood-based bioelectricity production in Japan. As Japan is internationally required to reduce emissions, Japan needs to bear the responsibility for not compliance to the Kyoto agreement. As long as forests are thinned, wood residues (disposed thinned wood, branches, and wood wastes) need to be utilized in order to prevent unexpected emissions or leakages as a result of thinning to increase carbon sinks to reach the 3.9% capped amount. These leakages amount to 13.4 Tg CO$_2$ year$^{-1}$ or about $268$ million if carbon is priced at $20$ MgCO$_2$ (carbon is priced at euro 15 Mg CO$_2$ at European market). The $268$ million is equivalent to $487.54$ ha$^{-1}$ (about 40.466 yen) to be paid for any thinned forest in order to prevent thinning-driven leakages. This amount could be used as subsidies for promoting bioelectricity production in Japan.

In Yamaguchi prefecture where emission reduction is an urgent issue, wood-based biomass utilization is the most effective way, and therefore this prefecture has started cofiring coal and woody biomass since 2001 (Matsunaga & Miura 2005). Matsunaga & Miura (2005) suggest that cost issue can be addressed by maintaining demand for woody biomass from the forests and such demand can be achieved by utilizing woody biomass for bioelectricity production. According to annual report published by Japanese Forestry Agency in 2009 (Forestry Agency 2009), 77 000 MgCO$_2$ of carbon credits were issued in 2008 to wood-based bioenergy producers. This latest development suggests that if appropriate incentive policies are introduced, wood-based bioenergy business could be expanded in Japan. Etoh & Sasaki (2010) found that the lack of full-scale liberalization of the electricity market in Japan as well as a lack of fixed prices, taxation on fossil fuels, and an exemption from taxation on renewable energy sources (as is done in Europe) contribute to the general failure of the wood bioenergy business in Japan. Not having

### Table 4 - Published unit purchase prices of biomass-generated electricity for 10 Japanese electric power companies. Prices are reported as yen kwh$^{-1}$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electric Power Company</th>
<th>Energy source</th>
<th>Summer season (7/1-9/30) or Winter season (12/1-2/end)</th>
<th>Other time</th>
<th>Week day of other seasons (8:00-22:00)</th>
<th>Other time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hokkaido Electric Power Company (2009)</td>
<td>New energy authorization power supply</td>
<td>4.50 (Winter season)</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>2.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tohoku Electric Power Company (2007)</td>
<td>New energy generation, Biomass generation</td>
<td>5.90 (Summer season)</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>2.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tokyo Electric Power Company (2010)</td>
<td>New energy generation</td>
<td>6.90 (Summer season)</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>6.10</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chubu Electric Power Company</td>
<td>Waste generation</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansai Electric Power Company (2009)</td>
<td>Biomass generation</td>
<td>5.34 (All season)</td>
<td>5.34</td>
<td>5.34</td>
<td>5.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chugoku Electric Power Company (2010)</td>
<td>Biomass generation</td>
<td>6.30 (Summer season)</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>5.78</td>
<td>3.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shikoku Electric Power Company (2010)</td>
<td>New energy distributed power supply</td>
<td>6.80 (Summer season)</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>3.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kyushu Electric Power Company (2008)</td>
<td>Biomass generation</td>
<td>5.80 (Summer season)</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>5.10</td>
<td>2.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Okinawa Electric Power Company (2009)</td>
<td>Biomass generation</td>
<td>5.28 (All season)</td>
<td>5.28</td>
<td>5.28</td>
<td>5.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.71</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>5.24</td>
<td>3.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighted Average</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
such policies creates an atmosphere in which the future supply of wood-based bioenergy is uncertain, there by making it difficult to predict the price of bioenergy or compare the price of producing bioenergy with producing energy from fossil fuels. Tab. 4 shows electricity purchase unit prices and weighted averages for 10 electric power companies throughout Japan. The weighted average unit price (this is the price that electric power companies pay bioenergy producers for power) of 4.6 yen kWh⁻¹ is much less than the average of 18.0 yen kWh⁻¹ in Germany (Souta 2007). This average is also very low as compared with the average of 22.1 yen kWh⁻¹ for power generated from fossil fuels for households in Japan (Yamada 2002).

Low price of bioenergy production

It is difficult to make a profitable bioenergy from woody biomass in Japan because the unit price of electricity that the power companies are willing to pay for bioenergy producing companies is so low (NEDO 2010, Ooki 2003) because of the uncertainties of perpetual supplies of bioenergy, thereby making wood bioenergy producing companies often operate at a loss. In Japan, the Renewables Portfolio Standard imposed a purchase duty on the constant ratio of electricity by renewable energy in April 2003 enforced. The quantity of duty of 2010 is 12 430 million kWh (METI 2009). However, positive introduction to promote utilization of woody biomass is difficult because the current policy does not support and favor producing bioenergy from woody biomass. In some European countries, the use of alternative energy sources, such as biofuels from woody biomass, has been effectively supported by preferential pricing of electricity produced by renewable energy sources, taxation of fossil fuels, and tax exemptions for renewable energy (Ericsson et al. 2004, Björheden 2006). Although the possible introduction of an environment-related taxation system was discussed in the Kyoto Protocol Accomplishment Plan (Cabinet Office 2008), no action has yet been taken. If such policies were introduced in Japan, the prices that producers are paid for bioenergy would be comparable to those for energy produced from burning fossil fuels, or perhaps even better as is the case in some European countries (Iida 2000). In addition, if the use of woody biomass to produce bioenergy becomes more economically feasible, thinning of forests for woody biomass would become a permanent business with many other benefits, including increased employment, healthier forests, reduced emissions, and other improved ecosystem functions.

Conclusion

Our results suggest that carbon emission reduction potentials are large in forest sector in Japan when thinning is carried out to increase carbon sinks to achieve the capped amount allowed under the Marrakesh Accord. The current thinning of 0.55 million ha between 2007 and 2012 would reduce 37.8 - 62.6 Tg CO₂ year⁻¹ or emit about 13.4 Tg CO₂ year⁻¹ depending on whether or not the disposed thinned wood and wood waste are utilized. The exclusion of emission reductions in forest sector in the current accounting rules may have contributed to the non-utilization of wood biomass to substitute the use of high-CO₂ emitting fossil fuel for electricity generation. Furthermore, as Japan recently pledged to reduce greenhouse gas emissions by 25% by 2020 (as compared to 1990 levels) at the Fifteenth Conference of the Parties (COP15) to the UNFCCC held in December 2009, the use of woody biomass to substitute the use of fossil fuels will continue to play a more important role in fulfilling Japan’s post-Kyoto greenhouse gas emission reduction commitments. If appropriate wood bioenergy policies to utilize the thinning-driven wood residues are not introduced, and as long as emission reductions are concerned, thinning alone will not lead to actual net emissions as disposed wood residues will eventually emit CO₂, CH₄, and other minor greenhouse gases.

Japan needs to introduce appropriate policies as done or similar to that in some European countries such as the liberalization of the electricity market, fixed electrical price by renewable energy, renewable energy taxes. The utilization of woody biomass for energy production would therefore not only contribute to a reduction in greenhouse gas emissions and allow Japan to meet its reduction targets but would also play a role in sustainable forest management and the market for renewable energy. Existing policies, such as those in European countries that have developed markets for the use of woody bioenergy, should be examined in greater detail and analyzed in terms of how to best introduce and promote policies that encourage the use of woody biomass in Japan. Promoting the utilization of woody biomass from thinned wood is a win-win policy because thinning has multiple benefits including, but not limited to increasing carbon sinks in the forests, putting forestry business back to work, creating wood bioenergy as well as employment, putting more labor to work in forest sector to replace the ageing ones, and improving ecosystem functionalities as well as the continued flow of services from the well-functioning forest ecosystems.
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